



## Results

**Study #1:** Using a mixed non-randomized clinical trial, Kuehn, Moon and Folkins (1993) examined how increased positive airway pressure affected EMG activity of the levator palatini (LP) muscle in individuals with and without cleft palate. It was assumed that since the LP muscle primarily moves the velum, an increase in EMG activity in response to increased pressure would create resistance on the muscle. With that being true, this resistance could be used to theoretically strengthen the LP in individuals with hypernasality due to velopharyngeal inadequacy. Five normally speaking adults and four adults with cleft palate and a history of mild to moderate hypernasality were fit with CPAP masks. Electrodes were placed into the LP muscle of each subject and the subjects completed three tasks. In the first task, EMG activity was recorded while the subjects repeated the non-word 'ansi' (VNCV format) ten times with the CPAP machine set at four different pressure levels (0, 7.5, 10 and 12.5 cmH<sub>2</sub>O). The order of the pressure levels was randomly selected and inter and intra word EMG levels were recorded. In the second task, EMG activity levels were recorded while the subjects repeated the syllable 'sa' continuously during which the pressure from the CPAP machine increased gradually from 5 to 12.5 cmH<sub>2</sub>O and then back down again (ascending-descending condition). In the third task, EMG activity was recorded while each person swallowed. EMG levels were averaged for the 'ansi' condition as well as between the 'ansi' repetitions to see if the muscle would adjust its tonic level of activity in response to changing pressures. Peak levels of activity during 'ansi' productions were compared with peak levels from the swallowing task to determine the relative level of muscle activity during speech compared to non speech tasks.

An ANCOVA revealed that as positive airway pressure was introduced there was a significant increase in LP muscle EMG activity compared to the zero pressure condition. This was true for inter as well as intra word measurements and was consistent across cleft palate and non cleft palate groups. The data also suggested that as the pressure was increased past 10 cmH<sub>2</sub>O, EMG activity decreased for 9 of the 12 subjects. No clear difference emerged in the swallowing and speech data for the two groups. The researchers concluded that the LP muscle contracted more forcefully when positive airway pressure acted as a resistance. Because of this, they proposed that the muscles could be strengthened in an exercise situation and this increased strength could act to more effectively close the velum in individuals with velopharyngeal inadequacy due to cleft palate. They also suggested that during therapy, pressure levels past 10 cmH<sub>2</sub>O should not be used as these may

decrease the force that the LP muscle contracts. Finally, they suggested that the LP muscle could be postured in a 'ready' position to overcome increased intranasal air pressure that would be introduced. This could be an added benefit to CPAP therapy as the motor units would be constantly active while the CPAP machine is in use.

Caution should be taken when interpreting the results of this study since the sample size was small. The study design utilized is one level below the 'gold standard' of research (randomized clinical trial/single subject ABA designs). This means that although we can have fairly good confidence in the result of this study, it is still not considered to be within the highest level of evidence that research studies can achieve. The major limitation of this study is that there is no evidence to suggest that strengthening the LP muscle during resistance training as is done in CPAP therapy, will transfer to conversational speech. It may be that individuals with velopharyngeal inadequacy are able to forcefully contract the LP muscle when resistance is added. However, behavioural training would be needed to apply this forceful contraction automatically during conversational speech when an increase in resistance is not being applied.

The results of this study suggest that that the velum can be strengthened by applying resistance through air pressure. Therefore treatments for hypernasality that apply resistance, such as CPAP therapy, could be used to reduce hypernasality by increasing the ability of the velum to contract more forcefully to close the velopharyngeal opening during non nasal sounds.

**Study #2:** Kuehn (1991) introduced CPAP as a new therapy for treating hypernasality. For therapy, each subject was given a CPAP machine to borrow for an 8 week period with which they conducted sessions at home 6 days per week. They were each given a schedule to follow telling them how long and at what pressure the CPAP machine was to be set to. Pressure levels ranged from 3 to 7 cmH<sub>2</sub>O and time per session ranged from 10 to 24 minutes. The pressure setting and time per session gradually increased over the course of the 8 weeks of therapy. During each session, the subject was given sets of 50 VNCV (vowel, noun, pressure consonant, vowel) words and non words, and sets of 10 short sentences containing nasal and non-nasal sounds to read aloud. The subject read the lists of words and sentences until the session was over. The VNCV pattern was used for the words because the lowered velar position on the nasal sound and then the quick elevation for the pressure consonant created a need for the muscles of the velum to contract forcefully to elevate.

Kuehn (199) presented 6 cases studies in this article. Two of the case studies (subjects 1 and 2) were individuals who received CPAP therapy only once per

week. No improvements were seen thus, treatment was abandoned in these two cases. Four case studies involved individuals who had received the full regimen of CPAP therapy as described previously. For each of the case studies, subjective ratings by the researcher were the bases of comparison for pre and post treatment hypernasality. Subject 3, an 8 year old girl with moderate hypernasality due to Klippel-Feil syndrome, showed perceptual improvements in hypernasality as noted by the researcher. Five expert judges rated this subject's speech samples at pre-treatment, one month into treatment, after 8 weeks of treatment and 2 months following the completion of treatment. The judges rated sentences and an overall level of significance across the five judges was determined using a chi-squared goodness of fit test. Results revealed that the judges were able to detect a decrease in hypernasality ( $p < 0.01$ ). Subject 4 was an 8 year old girl with cleft lip and palate causing moderate hypernasality. The researcher determined that her hypernasality improved from a rating of 4/7 to 2/7 on an equal interval scale (1= normal speech, 7= severely hypernasal speech). Subject 5 was a 14 year old girl with moderate/severe hypernasality due to a deep pharynx. No improvements in hypernasality were noted after one month of therapy and thus treatment was terminated. Subject 6 was a 20 year old man with a sustained closed head injury resulting in speech dysarthria with moderate hypernasality. Kuehn (1991) determined that his hypernasality rating improved from 4/7 to 2/7 pre to post treatment. Another month of treatment was conducted after completion of the 8 weeks, but no more improvements were made and thus treatment was terminated.

Because of the low level of evidence provided in case studies, as well as the small sample size used for this study, the results should be treated with caution. The results are subjective to experimenter bias because perceptual measurements were made only by the researcher on all but one of the cases (subject 3). Also, within the small sample size, each of the subjects was different in how they presented with hypernasality. This limits the ecological validity of the findings since no single population is represented sufficiently to generalize the findings to a specific population. Despite the limitations of this study, the information gained through the case studies can help to fuel further research looking at the usefulness of CPAP therapy for treating individuals with hypernasality. The researcher also suggested that patients with severe hypernasality may not benefit from this therapy as these patients will likely require physical management. Finally, Kuehn (1991) suggested that intensive therapy should be done over a two month period since it is likely that gains in treatment would happen in this time frame and that no further gains would be made after this.

**Study #3:** Kuehn, Imrey, Tomes, Jones, O'Gara, Seaver et al (2002) followed up on the case studies previously mentioned (i.e. Kuehn (1991)) with a within-groups repeated measures, pre and post treatment design to determine if using CPAP therapy would reduce hypernasality for individuals with cleft palate. Twenty-four male and 19 female subjects born with cleft palate and ranging in age from 3 to 25 years, were recruited through eight treatment sites in the United States. The 43 subjects were identified as hypernasal by perceptual evaluation from local speech pathologists. The CPAP training and treatment took place at each of the subjects respective treatment sites as well as at the home of the participating subjects. The CPAP treatment followed the same protocol as described by Kuehn (1991). For children who were too young to read, parents read the words and sentences to the child and the child repeated them back during treatment. Each subject received a schedule showing the time and pressure level for each session (time ranged from 10-24 min and pressure levels ranged from 4 – 8cmH<sub>2</sub>O). Hypernasality measurements were made before treatment, midway through, immediately following treatment, and between 8 and 21 months after treatment was concluded. Measurements included blinded judges' and un-blinded clinician-investigator ratings of speech samples obtained at each measurement point on an equal interval scale of 1-8 (1= normal speech, 8= extremely hypernasal speech). For the blinded judges ratings, standard reference scales and replicate evaluations were used to reduce inter-judge variability. As well, average nasalance scores were obtained using a nasometer during three readings of "the zoo passage". The subjects were given a video camera to record each session which helped to ensure that the subjects were following the CPAP therapy protocol properly.

A Wald-type F statistic was used to determine if a change in blinded hypernasality scores occurred from pre to post treatment. Changes in nasalance scores were analyzed by a randomized block analysis of variance. Un-blinded nasality ratings were analyzed using Wald statistics for comparison of marginal mean scores in a categorical data mixed model. On average, mean nasality scores as determined by the blinded judges' ratings, declined 0.2 rating points from pre to post treatment ( $p = 0.016$ ). The researchers noted that most of the change in nasality occurred in the last month of treatment when the therapy was more intense. Nasalance scores were consistent with the observed improvements in perceptual nasality scores but did not reach statistical significance. Follow up ratings for 25 of the subjects showed that the mean change in nasalance scores were unchanged at follow-up for subjects whose hypernasality had improved post

hypernasality correlated modestly with blinded ratings although un-blinded ratings tended to exaggerate the benefit of the CPAP therapy.

Although the sample size in this study was sufficient, the addition of a control group and randomization into groups would have increased the level of evidence to the 'gold standard'. This increased level evidence would have provided the reader with more confidence in the interpretations that could be made from the results. Since the treatment was conducted at eight different treatment sites, there is the possibility that variability in clinician-investigator training and follow-through therapy could have affected the results. Also, non-compliance by the subjects for the intense therapy regime could have affected the results. One of the major limitations of this study was that the inter-judge reliability for the blinded judges was only adequate (0.50) despite the precautions taken to limit this variability. Since this was the primary measurement taken, caution must be used when interpreting the results of this study given that the measurements may have been invalid. The researchers do not address this limitation and the effect it might have on the interpretation of their results in this article. Despite these limitations, the results suggest that CPAP therapy can be useful for treating hypernasality resulting from cleft palate.

**Study #4**

ch (5000) 1584.5773(s)2.71284(t)0.356603(u)5.07055(d)-i(l)0.356603(e)-2.64358(d)-7( )584.5773(t)0.356603(h)5.07 jury 584.193{(-)5.00125(T)-16.716BrTheysed singlsubjectAA trea

some insight into how using only perceptual measurements for assessment improvements in hypernasality may be misleading for clients with very severe hypernasality. Perceptual measurements may miss smaller improvements that are being made but may not yet be perceived.

The results of this study suggest that CPAP treatment may be useful for treating individuals with hypernasality following a TBI.

### *Discussion*

All of the studies examined in this systematic review looked at the reasons for and the implications of using CPAP therapy to treat hypernasality in individuals with VP inadequacy. Overall, the evidence that this treatment improves hypernasality is suggestive. Small sample sizes as well as study designs that fall short of the 'gold standard' of research cause the findings and implications of the studies to be interpreted with caution.

There were some common limitations that were present

1(h)5-2.64432(s)21128436(u)5107055(e)181-1115211d(i)0.3h96601511nastanieeqhj35660(a)-23(e)-2.64358(s)71284(-)-1.07055(t)0.356603(s)2.71284(-)-3

### *References*

Cahill, L.M., Turner, A.B., Stabler, P.A., Addis, P.E., Theodoros, D.G., & Murdoch, B.E. (2004). An evaluation of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) therapy in the treatment of hypernasality following traumatic brain injury. *Journal of the American Academy of Speech and Hearing*, 24(1), 241-253.

Enderby, P.M. *Speech Dysfunctional Assessment*. San Diego, California: College-Hill Press.

Kuehn, D.P. (1991). New therapy for treating hypernasal speech using continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP). *Proceedings of the American Speech and Hearing Association*, 95(9), 959-966.

Kuehn, D.P., Imrey, P.B., Tomes, L., Jones, D.L., O'Gara, M.M., Seaver, E.J., Smith, B.E., Van